	4	
1	WINSTON & STRAWN LLP Lawrence A. Larose (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)	
2	llarose@winston.com 200 Park Avenue	
3	New York, NY 10166-4193 Telephone: (212) 294-6700	
4	Facsimile: (212) 294-4700	
5	WINSTON & STRAWN LLP Matthew M. Walsh (SBN: 175004)	
6	mwalsh@winston.com 333 S. Grand Avenue, 38th Floor	
7	Los Angeles, CA 90071-1543 Telephone: (213) 615-1700	
8	Facsimile: (213) 615-1750	
9	Attorneys for Creditor NATIONAL PUBLIC FINANCE	
10	GUARANTEE CORPORATION	
11		
12	UNITED STATES BA	ANKRUPTCY COURT
13	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIF	ORNIA, SACRAMENTO DIVISION
14	In re:	Case No. 12-32118
15	CITY OF STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA,	D.C. No. OHS-1
16	Debtor.	Chapter 9
17 18		NATIONAL PUBLIC FINANCE GUARANTEE CORPORATION'S OFFER OF PROOF PURSUANT TO
19		FEDERAL RULE OF EVIDENCE 103
20		Date: March 25, 2013 Time: 9:30 a.m. Dept: Courtroom 35
21		Judge: Hon. Christopher M. Klein
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Pursuant to the *Stipulation and Scheduling Order Regarding Evidentiary Hearing on Objections to the City's Eligibility for Relief under Chapter 9 of the Bankruptcy Code* [Dkt No. 742], entered on March 11, 2013, National Public Finance Guarantee Corporation ("National"), a creditor and party in interest, hereby submits the following Offer of Proof pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 103: the Declaration of Matthew A. Cohn (the "Cohn Declaration"), attached hereto as Exhibit A.

This testimony is important and relevant evidence because (i) it is within the scope of evidence specifically contemplated for consideration in the evidentiary trial on the City of Stockton's (the "City") eligibility by the *Order Granting Joint Motion To Modify Order On Motion For Leave To Introduce Evidence Relating To Neutral Evaluation Process Under California Government Code § 53760.3(Q)* dated November 11, 2012 [Dkt. No. 608]; and (ii) it pertains to the City's obligation to prove that it negotiated in good faith prior to the commencement of its chapter 9 case, which obligation includes, among other things, that the City show it meaningfully negotiated with all creditors. *See In re Ellicott Sch. Bldg. Auth.*, 150 B.R. 261, 266 (Bankr. D. Colo. 1992). If accepted into evidence, this testimony would prove or assist in proving that the City failed to meet its obligation to negotiate in good faith. Not only is this evidence admissible under Fed. R. Evid. 402, but given the brevity of this evidence, admission would not cause undue delay, waste time, or confuse the issues before the Court. *See* Fed. R. Evid. 403.

To the extent the Court desires to hear the evidence set forth in the Cohn Declaration in question-and-answer format as permitted by Fed. R. Evid. 103(c), the expected testimony would be as follows:

Q. Would you please state your full name for the record?

¹ As detailed in National's Joinder of Creditor National Public Finance Guarantee Corporation to Indenture Trustee's Limited Objection to the Debtor's Emergency Motion for Leave to Introduce Evidence Relating to Neutral Evaluation Process under Government Code Section 53760.3(Q) [Dkt. No. 78], National is a secured creditor and party in interest of the City.

1	A. Matthew A. Cohn
2	Q. Where are you employed?
3	A. I am Director of Optinuity Alliance Resources, an affiliate of creditor National Public
4	Finance Guarantee Corporation.
5	Q. In that capacity, were you involved in the mediation process called by the City of
6	Stockton, California in 2012 pursuant to the California state statute generally known as "AB
7	506?"
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Tell us about your involvement.
10	A. Prior to the commencement of the mediation process, I, along with National's counsel
11	and financial advisors, attended due diligence meetings held by the City of Stockton on April
12	30 and May 1, 2012 and also participated in certain organizational conference calls.
13	Q. Did you attend any of the actual AB 506 mediation sessions?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q: How many?
16	A: Along with National's counsel and financial advisors, I attended two mediation sessions.
17	Q: When were those?
18	A: On May 15 and 16, 2012.
19	Q. [Show Mr. Cohn a copy of the Ask]: Do you recognize this document?
20	A: Yes.
21	Q: What is it?
22	A: The City's "Proposals for Modification to Obligations Under AB 506 Process,"
23	colloquially referred to as the City's "Ask."
24	Q: When did National first receive this from the City?
25	A. On May 7, 2012, the City delivered the Ask to National, its counsel and its financial
26	advisors.
27	-2-

28

1	Q. Tell us what happened at the first mediation session National attended on May 15, 2012.	
2	A. National by then had reviewed the Ask, and expressed its position to the City that the Ask	
3	(i) was discriminatory among unsecured creditors because it failed to address the City's	
4	pension liabilities, and (ii) was not a feasible plan because, even if the City obtained all the	
5	concessions it requested, the City would still remain insolvent.	
6	Q: How did the City respond to that?	
7	A: Counsel to the City responded that he would discuss National's concerns with the City.	
8	Q: Did National hear back from the City before the second mediation session on May 16	
9	2012?	
10	A: No.	
11	Q. What transpired at that second mediation session?	
12	A. Officials from the City attended the mediation session and reported that (i) at a closed	
13	meeting the previous evening the City Council had reaffirmed unanimously its decision no	
14	to seek concessions from CalPERS or any other party relating to its pension liability, and	
15	(ii) the City had no other changes or additions to the Ask. After the City's presentation, the	
16	mediator declared that there did not appear to be much more to discuss and the mediation	
17	session ended.	
18	Q. Was National invited to participate in any other AB 506 mediation sessions?	
19	A. No.	
20	Dated: March 15, 2013 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP	
21		
22	By: /s/ Lawrence A. Larose Lawrence A. Larose (admitted <i>pro</i>	
23	hac vice) and	
24	/s/ Matthew M. Walsh Matthew M. Walsh	
25	Attorneys for Movant	
26	National Public Finance Guarantee Corporation	
27	-3-	
28		